

Announcements

- start recording
- scribe: work w/other scribe to produce one set of notes
- My OH: Mon 12:30-1:30, Thu 9-10
- TF section/OH: Mon 2-3, Tue 8-9, Wed 5-6, Thu 5:30-6:30
- PS 4 posted. Project proposal due Sun 11/8, problems due TUE 11/17
- If Zoom goes down, check Piazza
- sync whiteboard
- Post your project topic on spreadsheet
- Sign up for 15min check in with me this week or next
- Jamboard link in chat

Agenda

- Loewner order
- Comparing graphs
- Expanders + spectral sparsities

Loewner Order: for $n \times n$ symmetric matrices A, B
 if B psd implies $\text{ker}(A) \subseteq \text{ker}(B)$ \rightarrow $A \succeq B$ means $A - B$ is psd
 i.e. $v^T A v \geq v^T B v$ for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$
 if apply to $A^T A \succeq B^T B \iff \|A v\|^2 \geq \|B v\|^2$
 only depends $(A + A^T)/2$

Example: $L_G \succeq L_H$ if H is a subgraph of G (more generally if $w_G(e) \geq w_H(e)$ for all e)
 sometimes write $G \succeq H$
 $x^T L_G x = \sum_{(a,b) \in E} w_{G(a,b)} (x(a) - x(b))^2$

Prop: if $A \succeq B$ then $\lambda_k(A) \geq \lambda_k(B)$ for $k=1, \dots, n$

Pf: Courant-Fischer

Exercise for Breakouts

True or False? For all symmetric $n \times n$ matrices A, B

1) $A \succeq B \implies C A C^T \succeq C B C^T$ for all $n \times n$ C

True $v^T C A C^T v = (C^T v)^T A (C^T v)$

Note: this does not hold for $C A C^{-1}$

2) $\lambda_k(A) \geq \lambda_k(B)$ for all $k \implies A \succeq B$

False e.g. $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

(True if A, B have common eigenbasis and

$\lambda_k = \text{corresponding eigenvalue}$)

3) $\|A\| \leq \epsilon \implies A \preceq \epsilon \cdot I$

True $x^T A x \leq \|A\| \cdot \|x\|^2 \leq \epsilon \cdot x^T I x \implies A \preceq \epsilon \cdot I$

4) $A \preceq \epsilon I \implies \|A\| \leq \epsilon$

False $A = \begin{pmatrix} -2\epsilon & 0 \\ 0 & -2\epsilon \end{pmatrix}$

$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} \preceq \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & & 0 \\ & \epsilon & \\ 0 & & \epsilon \end{pmatrix}$

\uparrow
 A after diagonalization

$$\|A\| \leq \varepsilon \iff -\varepsilon I \preceq A \preceq \varepsilon I$$

Thm (ps 1): for every undirected, connected G of diameter $\leq r$, $\lambda_2(G) \geq \frac{1}{O(r \cdot n)}$

PF: idea: compare L_G w/ L_{K_n}

$$L_{K_n} = \sum_{\{a,b\} \subseteq V} L_{(a,b)}$$

"path inequality"

$$\sum_{\{a,b\} \subseteq V} |P_{(a,b)}| \cdot L_{P_{(a,b)}} \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{"Path inequality"} \\ P_{(a,b)} \text{ is a path in } G \\ \text{between } a \text{ and } b \end{array} \right)$$

length of path

$$\sum_{\{a,b\} \subseteq V} \binom{n}{2} \cdot r \cdot L_G \quad (P_{(a,b)} \text{ a subgraph of } G)$$

$$\lambda_2(G) \geq \frac{\lambda_2(L_{K_n})}{\binom{n}{2} \cdot r} = \frac{n}{\binom{n}{2} \cdot r} = \frac{2}{(n-1) \cdot r}$$

Path Inequality: If P is a path of length r

between vertices a, b , then $L_{(a,b)} \preceq r \cdot L_P$

Proof: $\begin{array}{ccccccc} & \cdot w_1 & \cdot w_2 & & \dots & & \cdot w_r \\ & \circ & \circ & & & & \circ \\ a=0 & 1 & 2 & & & & b=r \end{array}$

$$x^T L_P x = \sum_{i=1}^r (x(i) - x(i-1))^2 \cdot w_i$$

$$\begin{aligned} x^T L_{(a,b)} x &= (x(n) - x(0))^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^r (x(i) - x(i-1)) \right)^2 \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^r (w_i (x(i) - x(i-1)))^2 \right) \cdot \underbrace{\left(\sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{w_i} \right)^2}_r \\ &= r \cdot x^T L_P x \end{aligned}$$

Weighted Rate Inequality.

If P_w has ~~even~~ weights w_1, w_2, \dots, w_r

$$\text{then } L_{(a,b)} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{w_i} \right) \cdot L_{P_w}$$

Thm: Let G be a regular undirected graph with n.v. matrix W

TFAE (the following are equivalent)

1) $\chi(G) \geq 1 - \omega$

2) $-\omega I \preceq W - J \preceq \omega I$ $J = \text{all } 1/n \text{ matrix}$

3) $(1 - \omega)(I - J) \preceq I - W \preceq (1 + \omega)(I - J)$

Proof $(1 \Leftrightarrow 2)$
 $-\omega I \preceq W - J \preceq \omega I \Leftrightarrow \|W - J\| \leq \omega$

$[(W - J)x = Wx^\perp]$

$\Leftrightarrow \forall x \perp \mathbb{1} \quad \|Wx\| \leq \omega \cdot \|x\|$

$(1 \Leftrightarrow 3)$ diagonalize $I - W$ and $I - J$
w/ eigenbasis for W

eigenvalues of $I - J$: 0 w/ multiplicity 1

1 w/ multiplicity $n - 1$

(3) says $(1 - \omega) \cdot 1 \leq 1 - \omega_i \leq (1 + \omega) \cdot 1$
for $i = 2, \dots, n$

i.e. $|\omega_i| \leq \omega$

Corollary: For every $\epsilon > 0$ $\exists c$ such that $\forall n$
 there is a graph H w/ $\leq cn$ edges s.t.
 $(1-\epsilon)L_{K_n} \preceq L_H \preceq (1+\epsilon)L_{K_n}$

Next time: $\forall G \exists H$ w/ $O\left(\frac{n \log n}{\epsilon^2}\right)$ edges

$$\text{s.t. } (1-\epsilon)L_G \preceq L_H \preceq (1+\epsilon)L_G$$

" H is a spectral sparsifier of G "

Stronger than a cut sparsifier:

$$(1-\epsilon)\phi_G(s) \leq \phi_H(s) \leq (1+\epsilon)\phi_G(s)$$

Variants: $(1-\epsilon)^{-1} \cdot L_G \preceq L_H \preceq (1+\epsilon) \cdot L_G$

$$\cdot e^{-\epsilon} L_G \preceq L_H \preceq e^{\epsilon} \cdot L_G$$